

The Master's Tools Will Never Dismantle The Master's House

*Comments at "The Personal and the Political" Panel
(Second Sex Conference, October 29, 1979)*

Audre Lorde

I agreed to take part in a New York University Institute for the Humanities conference a year ago, with the understanding that I would be commenting upon papers dealing with the role of difference within the lives of american women; difference of race, sexuality, class, and age. For the absence of these considerations weakens any feminist discussion of the personal and the political.

It is a particular academic arrogance to assume any discussion of feminist theory in this time and in this place without examining our many differences, and without a significant input from poor women, black and third-world women, and lesbians. And yet, I stand here as a black lesbian feminist, having been invited to comment within the only panel at this conference where the input of black feminists and lesbians is represented. What this says about the vision of this conference is sad, in a country where racism, sexism and homophobia are inseparable. To read this program is to assume that lesbian and black women have nothing to say of existentialism, the erotic, womens culture and silence, developing feminist theory, or heterosexuality and power. And what does it mean in personal and political terms when even the two black women who did present here were literally found at the last hour? What does it mean when the tools of a racist patriarchy are used to examine the fruits of that same patriarchy? It means that only the most narrow perimeters of change are possible and allowable.

The absence of any consideration of lesbian consciousness or the consciousness of third world women leaves a serious gap within this conference and within the papers presented here. For example, in a paper on material relationships between women, I was conscious of an either/or model of nurturing which totally dismissed my knowledge as a black lesbian. In this paper there was no examination of mutuality between women, no systems of shared support, no interdependence as exists between lesbians and women-identified women. Yet it is only in the patriarchal model of nurturance that women "who attempt to emancipate themselves pay perhaps too high a price for the results," as this paper states.

For women, the need and desire to nurture each other is not pathological but redemptive, and it is within that knowledge that our real

power is rediscovered. It is this real connection, which is so feared by a patriarchal world. For it is only under a patriarchal structure that maternity is the only social power open to women.

Interdependency between women is the only way to the freedom which allows the "I" to "be", not in order to be used, but in order to be creative. This is a difference between the passive "be" and the active "being."

Advocating the mere tolerance of difference between women is the grossest reformism. It is a total denial of the creative function of difference in our lives. For difference must be not merely tolerated, but seen as a fund of necessary polarities between which our creativity can spark like a dialectic. Only then does the necessity for interdependency become unthreatening. Only within that interdependency of different strengths, acknowledged and equal, can the power to seek new ways to actively "be" in the world generate, as well as the courage and sustenance to act where there are no charters.

Within the interdependence of mutual (non-dominant) differences lies that security which enables us to descend into the chaos of knowledge and return with true visions of our future, along with the concomitant power to effect those changes which can bring that future into being. Difference is that raw and powerful connection from which our personal power is forged.

As women, we have been taught to either ignore our differences or to view them as causes for separation and suspicion rather than as forces for change. Without community, there is no liberation, only the most vulnerable and temporary armistice between an individual and her oppression. But community must not mean a shedding of our differences, nor the pathetic pretense that these differences do not exist.

Those of us who stand outside the circle of this society's definition of acceptable women; those of us who have been forged in the crucibles of difference; those of us who are poor, who are lesbians, who are black, who are older, know that *survival is not an academic skill*. It is learning how to stand alone, unpopular and sometimes reviled, and how to make common cause with those other identified as outside the structures, in order to define and seek a world in which we can all flourish. It is learning how to take our differences and make them strengths. *For the master's tools will never dismantle the master's house*. They may allow us temporarily to beat him at his own game, but they will never enable us to bring about genuine change. And this fact is only threatening to those women who still define the master's house as their only source of support.

Poor and third world women know there is a difference between the daily manifestations and dehumanizations of marital slavery and prostitution, because it is our daughters who line 42nd Street. The

Black panelists' observation about the effects of relative powerlessness and the differences of relationship between black women and men from white women and men illustrate some of our unique problems as black feminists. If white american feminist theory need not deal with the differences between us, and the resulting difference in aspects of our oppressions, then what do you do with the fact that the women who clean your houses and tend your children while you attend conferences on feminist theory are, for the most part, poor and third world women? What is the theory behind racist feminism?

In a world of possibility for us all, our personal visions help lay the groundwork for political action. The failure of the academic feminists to recognize difference as a crucial strength is a failure to reach beyond the first patriarchal lesson. Divide and conquer, in our world, must become define and empower.

Why weren't other black women and third world women found to participate in this conference? Why were two phone calls to me considered a consultation? Am I the only possible source of names of black feminists? And although the black panelist's paper ends on a important and powerful connection of love between women, what about interracial co-operation between feminists who don't love each other?

In academic feminist circles, the answer to these questions is often "We did not know who to ask." But that is the same evasion of responsibility, the same cop-out, that keeps black women's art out of women's exhibitions, black women's work out of most feminist publications except for the occasional "Special Third World Women's Issue,"* and black women's texts off of your reading lists. But as Adrienne Rich pointed out in a recent talk, white feminists have educated themselves about such an enormous amount over the past ten years, how come you haven't also educated yourselves about black women and the differences between us – white and black – when it is key to our survival as a movement?

Women of today are still being called upon to stretch across the gap of male ignorance, and to educate men as to our existence and our needs. This is an old and primary tool of all oppressors to keep the oppressed occupied with the master's concerns. Now we hear that it is the task of black and third world women to educate white women, in the face of tremendous resistance, as to our existence, our differences, our relative roles in our joint survival. This is a diversion of energies and a tragic repetition of racist patriarchal thought.

* *Conditions of Brooklyn, NY* is a major exception. It has fairly consistently published the work of women of color before it was "fashionable" to do so. [editor's footnote]

Simone DeBeauvoir once said:

"It is in the knowledge of the genuine conditions of our lives that we must draw our strength to live and our reasons for acting."

Racism and homophobia are real conditions of all our lives in this place and this time. *I urge each one of us here to reach down into that deep place of knowledge inside herself and touch that terror and loathing of any difference that lives there. See whose face it wears.* Then the personal as the political can begin to illuminate all our choices.

**THIS
BRIDGE
CALLED MY
BACK**

**WRITINGS BY
RADICAL
WOMEN OF
COLOR**

EDITORS:

**CHERRÍE MORAGA
GLORIA ANZALDÚA**

FOREWORD:

TONI CADE BAMBARA



KITCHEN TABLE: Women of Color Press

New York

Copyright © 1981, 1983 by Cherríe Moraga and Gloria Anzaldúa.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced without permission in writing from the publisher. Published in the United States by Kitchen Table: Women of Color Press, Post Office Box 908, Latham, New York 12110-0908. Originally published by Peresphone Press, Inc. Watertown, Massachusetts, 1981.

Also by Cherríe Moraga

Cuentos: Stories by Latinas, ed. with Alma Gómez and Mariana Romo-Carmona. Kitchen Table: Women of Color Press, 1983.

Loving in the War Years: Lo Que Nunca Pasó Por Sus Labios. South End Press, 1983.

Cover and text illustrations by Johnetta Tinker.

Cover design by Maria von Brincken.

Text design by Pat McGloin.

Typeset in Garth Graphic by Serif & Sans, Inc., Boston, Mass.

Second Edition Typeset by Susan L. Yung

Second Edition, Sixth Printing.

ISBN 0-913175-03-X, paper.

ISBN 0-913175-18-8, cloth.

This bridge called my back : writings by radical women of color / editors, Cherríe Moraga, Gloria Anzaldúa ; foreword, Toni Cade Bambara. — 1st ed. — Watertown, Mass. : Persephone Press, c1981.[*]

xxvi, 261 p. : ill. ; 22 cm.

Bibliography: p. 251-261.

ISBN 0-930436-10-5 (pbk.) : \$9.95

1. Feminism—Literary collections. 2. Radicalism—Literary collections. 3. Minority women—United States—Literary collections. 4. American literature—Women authors. 5. American literature—Minority authors. 6. American literature—20th century. I. Moraga, Cherríe II. Anzaldúa, Gloria.

PS509.F44T5

81-168894

810 '8 '09287—dc19

AACR 2 MARC

Library of Congress

[r88]rev

[*]—2nd ed. — Latham, NY: Kitchen Table, Women of Color Press, c1983.

CHRYSTOS: "Ceremony for Completing a Poetry Reading," copyright © 1976 by Chrystos, first appeared in *Womanspirit*, reprinted by permission. **COMBAHEE RIVER COLLECTIVE**: "A Black Feminist Statement," first appeared in *Capitalist Patriarchy and the Case for Socialist Feminism*, Zillah R. Eisenstein, ed. (New York: Monthly Review Press, 1979), reprinted by permission. **DORIS DAVENPORT**: "The Pathology of Racism," copyright © 1980 by Doris Davenport, first appeared in *Spinning Off*, reprinted by permission. **HATTIE GOSSETT**: "billie lives! billie lives!," copyright © 1980 by Hattie Gossett; "who told you anybody wants to hear from you? you ain't nothing but a black woman!," copyright © 1980 by Hattie Gossett. **MARY HOPE LEE**: "on not being," copyright © 1979 by Mary Hope Lee, first appeared in *Callaloo*, reprinted by permission. **AUDRE LORDE**: "An Open Letter to Mary Daly," copyright © 1980 by Audre Lorde, first appeared in *Top Ranking*, reprinted by permission. "The Master's Tools Will Never Dismantle the Master's House," copyright © 1980 by Audre Lorde. **PAT PARKER**: "Revolution: It's Not Neat or Pretty or Quick," copyright © 1980 by Pat Parker. **KATE RUSHIN**: "The Bridge Poem," copyright © 1981 by Donna K. Rushin. **MITSUYE YAMADA**: "Invisibility is an Unnatural Disaster," copyright © 1979 by *Bridge: An Asian American Perspective*, reprinted by permission.

"Gee, You Don't Seem Like An Indian From the Reservation" <i>Barbara Cameron</i>	46
". . . And Even Fidel Can't Change That!" <i>Aurora Levins Morales</i>	53
I Walk in the History of My People <i>Chrystos</i>	57

And When You Leave, Take Your Pictures With You Racism in the Women's Movement

And When You Leave, Take Your Pictures With You <i>Jo Carrillo</i>	63
Beyond the Cliffs of Abiquiu <i>Jo Carrillo</i>	65
I Don't Understand Those Who Have Turned Away From Me <i>Chrystos</i>	68
Asian Pacific American Women and Feminism <i>Mitsuye Yamada</i>	71
Millicent Fredericks <i>Gabrielle Daniels</i>	76
" – But I Know You, American Woman" <i>Judit Moschkovich</i>	79
The Pathology of Racism: A Conversation with Third World Wimmin <i>doris davenport</i>	85
We're All in the Same Boat <i>Rosario Morales</i>	91
An Open Letter to Mary Daly <i>Audre Lorde</i>	94
The Master's Tools Will Never Dismantle the Master's House <i>Audre Lorde</i>	98

Between the Lines On Culture, Class, and Homophobia

The Other Heritage <i>Rosario Morales</i>	107
billie lives! billie lives! <i>hattie gossett</i>	109
Across the Kitchen Table: A Sister-to-Sister Dialogue <i>Barbara Smith and Beverly Smith</i>	113