Viking Eggeling and the Quest for Universal Language

Otto G. Carlsund, GAN, Jean Börlin and the Swedish Ballet of Paris, functioned as a kind of mobile Swedish avant-garde in exile, performing briefly on the European art scene, but still close to important events and figures. Another artist from Sweden who contributed to the experimental film and avant-garde culture of the 1920s was Viking Eggeling (1880–1925). As discussed in the Introduction, Eggeling would in a teleological historiography represent the beginning as well as the end of Swedish experimental film. His contribution is an anomaly in Swedish film and art history, and at the same time ‘the most typical avant-garde’.

Viking Eggeling was born in Lund in 1880.77 His father was a German immigrant who probably left his home village in Niedersachsen during 1848. In Lund he established himself as a musician, opened a music shop in 1881, and edited a popular song book. Viking was the youngest of the Eggeling children, altogether they were twelve. Young Viking was mostly interested in music and sports, and left school with mediocre skills. At the age of sixteen he left his home in Sweden for Germany, in order to train as a book-keeper. He stayed for a while in Germany, then moved to Switzerland and after that to Italy. He studied art history and was later appointed as a drawing teacher in Switzerland. In 1911 he went to Paris where he engaged in the art life, and met, among others, Jean (Hans) Arp and Amadeo Modigliani. Modigliani painted his portrait in 1916.78

During the First World War his life was nomadic; he lived in Italy and Switzerland. He was involved in the Dada movement, and exhibited at Cabaret Voltaire in 1916. Later, in 1919, Eggeling became member of the group “Das neue Leben” together with, among others, Arp and Marcel Janco. He was one of the founders of the group “Radikale Künstler” in Zürich in 1919. He met several new friends and colleagues; Raul Hausmann was probably the most important among the Dadaists for Eggeling.

Hans Richter met Viking Eggeling in 1918. Richter’s role in the Eggeling saga is contentious, but it can be valuable to consider his own version, since it gives a snapshot of the young Swede by one of his contemporaries:

I spent two years, 1916–1918, groping for the principles of what made for rhythm in painting. [...] In 1918, Tristan Tzara brought me together with a Swedish painter from Ascona, who, as he told me, also experimented with similar problems. His name was Viking Eggeling. His drawings stunned me with their extraordinary logic and beauty, a new beauty. He used contrasting elements to dramatize two (or more) complexes of forms and used analogies in these same complexes to relate them again. In varying proportions, number, intensity, position, etc., new contrasts and new analogies
were born in perfect order, until there grew a kind of ‘functioning’ between the different form units, which made you feel movement, rhythm, continuity... as clear as in Bach. That’s what I saw immediately!79

During the years 1915 to 1917 Eggeling had started to work with the picture scrolls *Horisontal-vertikal orkester* (“Horizontal-vertical orchestra”) and *Diagonalsymfoni* (“Diagonal symphony”). Richter accompanied him and later recalled: “In these scrolls we tried to build different phases of transformation as if they were phrases of a symphony or fugue”.80

The ambition of Eggeling and Richter was to create an abstract visual language, universal and boundless. In 1920 they drafted the proclamation “Universelle Sprache”, (“Universal language”). No copy of this manifesto has survived, but Richter later published a summary:

This pamphlet elaborated our thesis that abstract form offers the possibility of a language above and beyond all national language frontiers. The basis for such language would lie in the identical form perception in all human beings and would offer the promise of a universal art as it has never existed before. With careful analysis of the elements, one should be able to rebuild men’s vision into a spiritual language in which the simplest as well as the most complicated, emotions as well as thoughts, objects as well as ideas would find a form.81

Eggeling developed, inspired by contemporaries like Kandinsky, Malevitch and Hausmann, a theory of his own, which he formulated in some brief articles and notes. Another source of inspiration was French philosopher Henri Bergson whose *L’evolution créatrice* (1907) was published in 1912 in a German translation. Amongst Eggeling’s posthumous notes there is a manuscript, “Film”, which consists almost solely of quotations from Bergson. It was the hope of Eggeling to recreate “la durée”, the flow of the present, through the cinematic medium. Through reduction he wanted to create a unique language: “Artistic richness is not to be found in an arbitrary innovation, but in formal transformation of the most simple motifs.”82

Eggeling and Richter at last found some financial support from Ufa in Berlin in 1920, and Eggeling made a first version of a film based upon *Horisontal-vertikal orkester*. These experiments were described by Théo van Doesburg in an article in *De Stijl*, and were also discussed by Eggeling himself in an article, “Theoretical presentations of the art of movement”, which he published 1921 in the Hungarian journal *MA*.83 A Swedish journalist, Birger Brinck-E:son (1901–1937), describes the film in an article in *Filmjournalalen*, 1923, as about ten minutes long, consisting of two thousand drawings, and characterises the film as a “symphony of lines”.84 The musical analogy is found
in Eggeling’s own writings, and it is obvious that his aim was to create a visual counterpart to music.

Horisontal-vertikal orkester is lost and was never shown in public. The support from Ufa was withdrawn after a while, and Eggeling had to produce his next film by himself, together with his assistant, Erna Niemeyer. At the same time he broke with Richter. He suffered from illness as well as financial problems, but was able to finish his work. The film which was to become Symphonie Diagonale ("Diagonal symphony"), was made with a simple cut-out technique where he used shapes of tin foil, filmed frame by frame.\(^{85}\) On 5 November 1924 Eggeling had a private screening of the film, and on 3 May 1925 the film had its first public screening at Ufa Palast in Berlin, together with films by Richter, Léger, Ruttman, Clair and others, under the banner “Der absolute Film”. Sixteen days later Viking Eggeling died from septic angina, weakened by infection and a hard life.

Several different copies of Symphonie Diagonale exist, and it is difficult to ascertain which version was screened at Ufa Palast. The tragic story of the different versions and the part played by Hans Richter is told by O’Konor and others. This basic material problem leads to questions concerning the interpretative level. O’Konor sees the film in the light of the artistic philosophy of Eggeling where his quest for a universal alphabet of sorts is essential. Another analysis is presented by film historian Gösta Werner and musicologist Bengt Edlund, based on a restoration of the film. Werner describes the film as a sonata:

*Diagonal Symphony* starts with an ‘exposition’ in which several episodes establish the various pictorial themes or motifs, and in which the dialectical opposition between the determined first and weaker second theme, basic to sonata form, is replicated by means of angular and rounded shapes. Then follows a ‘development’ characterised by complex, multi-motivic pictures undergoing several changes simultaneously, a kind of visual polyphony. The material of the exposition reappears in condensed form as a ‘recapitulation’, and finally there is a fairly extended section with further metamorphoses of complex pictures, corresponding to the ‘coda’, the (optional) closing part of the sonata scheme.\(^{86}\)

Malin Wahlberg combines the different perspectives in a discussion concerning the concept of ‘pure visual rhythm’, which she traces in the experimental cinema of the 1920s.\(^{87}\) The work of Eggeling is, according to her, an important example of the “visualization of musical rhythm”.\(^{88}\)

Symphonie Diagonale was acknowledged with great acclaim within the contemporary European avant-garde. Eggeling is often considered with Richter, whose *Rhythmus 21* (1921) was made with the help of Ufa. The difference between the two of them has been formulated as that “the screen was a blackboard
Viking Eggeling, *Symphonie Diagonale* (1925)
to Eggeling and a window to Richter”. A way to interpret this is to claim that Eggeling was, in fact, more interested in language than the world depicted; the interface was the world. Michael O’Pray sees Eggeling’s geometric shapes as “complex imaginative abstractions reminiscent of both hieroglyphs and at times, mundane objects like combs and jugs, as if they were ‘symbolic traces’ of existent objects”, but without “Kandinsky’s compositional overallness.” Malcolm Le Grice argues that these qualities make Eggeling a forerunner of computer film art. Symphonie Diagonale is, according to Le Grice:

[...] in many respects eminently suitable to have been made by a computer. It is largely linear and composed of simple abstract elements which are put together in a gradual formation of a single complex abstract unit. Not only is the image one which could be output on present computers, but, more importantly, the kinds of relationships and animated developments could have been analysed and programmed.

A. L. Rees points out that Symphonie Diagonale “bridges the two kinds of cinépoems of the 1920s and 1930s, the camera-eye films of Chomette and Dulac and the fully abstract films of the German group”. Rees makes clear that the film is truly intermedial or interartial in its nature: “Diagonal Symphony is a delicate dissection of almost art deco tones and lines, its intuitive rationalism shaped by cubist art, Bergson’s philosophy of duration and Kandinsky’s theory of synaesthesia, all of which are referred to in Eggeling’s written notes.”

Symphonie Diagonale is now part of the avant-garde canon and acknowledged as an essential element in Swedish film history. But it was a long process becoming part of a Swedish heritage; in fact first, after World War II, when Eggeling became a symbolic figure for the young cineasts and filmmakers. This can be exemplified with the important exhibition and festival “Apropå Eggeling” which was the opening event of the museum of contemporary art in Stockholm, Moderna Museet, in May 1958. In the exhibition catalogue Eggeling was described as the main character of the Swedish film avant-garde. Some years earlier Peter Weiss had published his seminal book on avant-garde cinema, but he treats Eggeling somewhat harshly, mainly noting that his film was the first animated and abstract work, and putting a lot more emphasis on Clair and Léger, not to mention the German expressionists.

Otto Carlsund and Viking Eggeling were two artists who left Sweden for the continent where they were acknowledged, at least Eggeling, while they were forgotten or marginalized in the culture of their native land. They were not outcasts, but they were not admitted into the circle until later. There are several reasons for this delay of the cultural modernisation process; it is partly due to the lack of an urban culture in Sweden, at least in comparison with Berlin and Paris. Certainly it also has to do with the ethnic homogeneity of
Swedish culture and its protectionist strategies towards influences from the rest of the world.

When studying popular Swedish film journals from the beginning of the twentieth century, one can trace a specific ironic mode when confronting modernism. American mainstream film culture was soon the matrix for the understanding of the film medium, and in cartoons and columns the filmic avant-garde of Europe was ridiculed in a harmless but still negative way. ‘Cubism’, ‘Expressionism’ and ‘Futurism’ were terms that were easily attached to everything incomprehensible and foreign. This kind of context turned artists like Carlsund, Eggeling and de Maré into foreigners, and expelled them from the national public sphere.

**The Lost Arabesques of Reinhold Holtermann**

There is always another history to be told about blind alleys and unfulfilled dreams. The artist Reinhold Holtermann (1899–1960) represents the possibilities of a richer Swedish experimental film culture during the 1920s.

As early as 1922 Holtermann, born in a wealthy family, is said to have been making pictorial collages in the same vein as the ones by contemporaries GAN and Erik Olson, but he probably destroyed these works. He was given a substandard gauge film camera as a gift during this time, and made several home movies. For an event at Konstnärsklubben (“The artists’ club”) in Stockholm, 1928, he edited two reels, *Arabesk I* and *Arabesk II*. The films have been described as “associating elements” in a “half-mechanical rhapsody”. Unfortunately, no copies of the films seem to have survived, and there are no stills to rely on. The films were re-edited into a single work in 1956, probably by art historian and writer Hans Eklund (b. 1921) who assisted producer and filmmaker Lennart Ehrenborg at Artfilm, using one of the few existing optical printers in Scandinavia in that day. The 5 minute version, *Arabesk I & II*, was it seems, destroyed or lost when the production company, Artfilm, was somewhat later sold. There are no physical traces left of the film, not even reviews, but viewers who remember the screenings mention Holtermann’s use of city footage: streets, facades, windows, combined in a collage, often very abruptly edited, with style as parameter rather than narration.

In the Holtermann estate there are several home movies and other films. Reinhold Holtermann shot three types of films. First, he made conventional home movies, portraying his family in Stockholm and on journeys; second, he made short comedies and puppet animations, most of them only a few minutes long, often with a twist of bizarre humour. The ‘features’ involved his family, for example, the little crime comedy “Klockan” (“The watch”) shot at the end of the 1920s. Third, he shot films mostly during his travels as a
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